

RESEARCH

Open Access



Endophytic fungi confirmed as entomopathogens of the new invasive pest, the fall armyworm, *Spodoptera frugiperda* (JE Smith) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae), infesting maize in South Sumatra, Indonesia

Siti Herlinda^{1,2,3*}, Mimma Gustianingtyas³, Suwandi Suwandi^{1,2,3}, Radix Suharjo⁴, Jelly Milinia Puspita Sari³ and Ragil Putri Lestari¹

Abstract

Background: The fall armyworm, *Spodoptera frugiperda* (JE Smith) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae), is a new invasive pest in Indonesia causing financial losses. *S. frugiperda* larvae hide in the leaf midrib all day. To overcome the hidden larvae, pathogenic endophytic fungi are needed. The objective of this research was to molecularly identify the endophytic fungal species from South Sumatra and determine the most pathogenic species against *S. frugiperda* larvae. Endophytic fungal identification was based on morphological and molecular characteristics. The molecular identification was based on gene sequential analysis of Intergenic Transcribed Spacer (ITS) 1 and ITS 4. Bioassay of the endophytic fungal species was treated against the 2nd larval instar of *S. frugiperda*.

Result: The results of molecular identification revealed endophytic fungal species consisted of *Chaetomium* sp. (GaTpeOi, JgTjPr, PiCrPga, and CaCjPga isolates), *Aspergillus niger* (L.) Van Tieghem (PsgTjPr, JgByU, and JaBuBys), *Beauveria bassiana* (Balsamo) Vuillemin (JgSPK, JaGiP, JaSpkPGA(2), JgCrJr, and JaTpOi (1) isolates), *Curvularia lunata* (Wakker) Boed. (JaGiPRB, CMTJP, JaMsBys, JaSpkPga(3), and JgTgSr isolates), *Aspergillus flavus* Link. (JgPWSR isolate), *Penicillium citrinum* Thom F 1539 (JaTpOi(2) isolate), and *Metarhizium anisopliae* (Metschn.) Sorokin (CaTpPGA isolate). All fungal isolates were deposited in the GenBank. The endophytic fungal species of *B. bassiana* (JgSPK isolate) caused up to (22.67%) mortality, *C. lunata* (JaSpkPga(3) isolate) caused (17.33%) mortality, and *M. anisopliae* (CaTpPga isolate) caused (8%) mortality of the pest's larvae. First report of *B. bassiana* and *C. lunata* isolated from maize (*Zea mays* L.), while *M. anisopliae* was isolated from red chili (*Capsicum annum* L.) as entomopathogenic endophytic fungi against *S. frugiperda* larvae in Indonesia.

Conclusion: The endophytic fungi species of *B. bassiana*, *C. lunata*, and *M. anisopliae* had potentials as entomopathogens of *S. frugiperda*.

Keywords: Endophytic fungi, Invasive pest, *Spodoptera frugiperda*, Morphological and molecular identifications, Indonesia

Background

The fall armyworm, *Spodoptera frugiperda* (JE Smith) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae), is a new invasive pest, originating from South America (Otim et al. 2018). Currently,

*Correspondence: sitiherlinda@unsri.ac.id

¹ Department of Plant Protection, Faculty of Agriculture, Universitas Sriwijaya, Indralaya, South Sumatra 30662, Indonesia
Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

it has spread throughout the world and its first record in Indonesia was in March 2019 in West Sumatra (Sartiami et al. 2020) and in July 2019 in South Sumatra (Hutasoit et al. 2020). Now, it has been spread all over Indonesia. The pest attacks maize (*Zea mays* L.) and various other plant species by means of its larval stage, eating leaves, stems, flowers, fruits, growing points, and the whole maize plant until it becomes bare (Montezano et al. 2018). Larvae are found on the surface of leaves or maize stalks in the morning and then hide in the leaves' midribs (Gustianingtyas et al. 2021). Such behavior makes it difficult to be controlled.

Laboratory experiments of Herlinda et al. (2020a) showed that the entomopathogenic fungus (EPF), *Metarhizium* sp., could kill (78%) of *S. frugiperda* larvae. *Beauveria bassiana* (Balsamo) Vuillemin, *Metarhizium anisopliae* (Metschn.) Sorokin, and *M. rileyi* (Farlow) Samson also killed more than (80%) *S. frugiperda* larvae (Ramanujam et al. 2020). Their treatments were applied topically (direct contact) with the larvae exposed. In the field, the presence of hiding larvae in the midribs all the day makes the contacted EPF less effective (Gustianingtyas et al. 2021). To overcome such behavior, EPF found in plant tissues (endophytic fungi) is needed (Ramos et al. 2020). The endophytic fungi systemically colonize plant tissues and associate mutually their host plants (Lira et al. 2020). The results of previous studies have proven that 8 isolates of endophytic EPF could topically kill *S. frugiperda* larvae (Gustianingtyas et al. 2021); however, the endophytic fungi found in their studies were only isolated from the roots and their molecular identification had not yet been carried out.

In this study, the fungi isolated from leaves, shoots, and roots of maize plants from South Sumatra (Indonesia) were identified morphologically and molecularly and their pathogenicity to *S. frugiperda* larvae was evaluated.

Methods

Purification, identification, and bioassay of fungi were conducted from January to March 2021. The endophytic fungal species were identified based on the molecular analysis carried out at a laboratory accredited according to the ISO 17025 standard. Bioassay of the fungi was carried out in an incubator at controlled and constant temperature and relative humidity, respectively, 25 °C and 97%.

Exploration, isolation, and purification of fungi

Exploration of endophytic fungi was carried out by collecting leaves, shoots, and roots of maize and other crop plants around it such as bananas (*Musa* spp.), ridged gourd (*Luffa acutangula* (Roxb.) L.), and red chilies (*Capsicum annuum* L.). Selection of individual crop

plant samples to obtain the endophytic fungi followed the method of Kasambala et al. (2018). Samples of the plant parts were placed into an ice box and then taken to the laboratory. The exploration of endophytic fungi was carried out from the lowlands to the highlands of South Sumatra, Indonesia, namely in Banyuasin District (2.8833°S 104.3831°E), Ogan Ilir District (3.43186°S 104.62727°E), Prabumulih City (3.4328°S 104.2356°E), Pagar Alam City (3°52'43.8"S 103°21'30"E) (Table 1).

The leaves, shoots, and roots of the sample plants were cleaned and washed aseptically with running tap water. Then, the plant parts were surface sterilized using the method of Elfita et al. (2019) with 70% EtOH (ethyl alcohol) and 1% NaOCl (sodium hypochlorite) and then rinsed 3 times. The last rinse water was used to check whether the microorganisms causing surface contamination were still present and carried out by growing them onto sabouraud dextrose agar (SDA) (Russo et al. 2020). Obtaining the endophytic fungi isolates started with growing the plant parts that sterilized earlier onto a media. The roots were grown on a specific medium, the malt extract agar (MEA), following the method of Silva et al. (2018). The shoots and leaves of the sample plants were grown on SDA media. The plant parts were grown as many as 5 pieces per Petri dish with a length of 5 mm and a diameter of 1–5 mm (depending on the diameter of the leaves, shoots, and roots). Fungi growing from the leaves, shoots, and roots were purified to be one isolate per sample. The isolates were then observed for their microscopic characteristics and continued with the fungal colonization test into the maize seed tissue.

Assess endophytic fungal colonization

Fungal inoculation on maize seeds was carried out to observe the colonization of fungi into the maize seedling tissue and to further ensure that the isolates found were truly endophytic. The 15 seeds of maize were surface sterilized, using 70% EtOH (ethyl alcohol) and 1% NaOCl (sodium hypochlorite) (Elfita et al. 2019). The seeds were immersed in 10 ml of fungal suspension, with a concentration of 1×10^6 conidia ml^{-1} for 6 h, while for the control, the sterilized seeds were only immersed in 10 ml of distilled water. Then, the seeds were grown in a sterile glass bottle (250 ml volume) with a sterile filter paper (Whatman no. 42) at the bottom, which was moistened with 1 ml distilled water and incubated for 10 days. All the treatments in this experiment were repeated 3 times. After the maize seedlings were 10 days old, the stems and leaves were sliced crosswise and longitudinally with a thickness of 0.02 mm each. The slices were observed with a light microscope at 40 × magnification with 0.05% lactofenol trypan blue dye. Mycelia endophytic fungi found in the maize seedling tissue were documented. The

Table 1 Origin of isolates of endophytic fungi from South Sumatra, Indonesia

Location (village, district/city)	Isolate origin	Altitude (m)	Fungal species	Fungal isolate code	GenBank acc. no
Tanjung Pering. Ogan Ilir	Ridged gourd	36.0	<i>Chaetomium</i> sp.	GaTpeOi	MZ359734
Tanjung Pering. Ogan Ilir	Bananas	36.0	<i>Aspergillus niger</i>	PsgTjPr	MZ242060
Simpang Padang Karet. Pagar Alam	Maize	797.7	<i>Beauveria bassiana</i>	JgSPK	MZ356494
Tanjung Pering. Ogan Ilir	Maize	36.0	<i>Chaetomium</i> sp.	JgTjPr	MZ359736
Gunung Ibul. Prabumulih	Maize	53.0	<i>Beauveria bassiana</i>	JaGiP	MZ356495
Curup Jare. Pagar Alam	Bananas	806.0	<i>Chaetomium</i> sp.	PiCrPga	MZ359735
Gunung Ibul. Prabumulih	Maize	53.0	<i>Curvularia lunata</i>	JaGiPRB	MZ359815
Tanjung Payang. Pagar Alam	Red chilies	689.6	<i>Curvularia lunata</i>	CMTJP	MZ359816
Mulia Sari. Banyuasin	Maize	19.0	<i>Curvularia lunata</i>	JaMsBys	MZ359819
Simpang Padang Karet. Pagar Alam	Maize	789.5	<i>Beauveria bassiana</i>	JaSpkPGA(2)	MZ356496
Curup Jare. Pagar Alam	Maize	806.7	<i>Beauveria bassiana</i>	JgCrJr	MZ356497
Tanjung Pering. Ogan Ilir	Maize	36.0	<i>Beauveria bassiana</i>	JaTpOi (1)	MZ356498
Simpang Padang Karet. Pagar Alam	Maize	797.7	<i>Curvularia lunata</i>	JaSpkPga(3)	MZ359818
Curup Jare. Pagar Alam	Red chilies	806.0	<i>Chaetomium</i> sp.	CaCjPga	MZ359737
Banyuurip. Banyuasin	Maize	13.0	<i>Aspergillus niger</i>	JgByU	MZ242059
Telang Sari. Banyuasin	Maize	15.0	<i>Curvularia lunata</i>	JgTgSr	MZ359817
Banyuurip. Banyuasin	Maize	20.0	<i>Aspergillus niger</i>	JaBuBys	MZ242058
Purwosari. Banyuasin	Maize	15.0	<i>Aspergillus flavus</i>	JgPWSR	MZ359829
Tanjung Pering. Ogan Ilir	Maize	36.0	<i>Penicillium citrinum</i>	JaTpOi(2)	MZ359812
Tanjung Payang. Pagar Alam	Red chilies	689.6	<i>Metarhizium anisopliae</i>	CaTpPga	MZ242073

fungal isolates that were proven to be endophytic were used for bioassays. Prior to the bioassay, the isolates were observed for microscopic characteristics for morphological identification, followed by molecular identification.

Morphological and molecular identification of fungal species

Macroscopic and microscopic observation

Morphological observations were carried out based on the macroscopic characteristics of the endophytic fungi that included colony color and shape, shape, and size of conidia and conidiophores, following the method of Herlinda et al. (2020a).

DNA extraction and PCR amplification

The used endophytic fungal DNA extraction method refers to the Swibawa et al. (2020) method. DNA extraction was carried out on fungal conidia. The conidia were harvested from pure cultures of 7-day-old fungus, which was cultured in sterile Petri dishes with a diameter of 9 cm. As much as 10 ml of sterile water was placed into a Petri dish containing fungal cultures and slowly harvested, using a Drigalski. The obtained conidia suspension was transferred to a centrifuge tube (volume 30 ml) and centrifuged using CF15RXII (Hitachi, Japan) for 10 min at a speed of 14,000 rpm. After being centrifuged, 1 ml of 70% ethanol was added to the centrifuge tube and then centrifuged again for 10 min. After that,

the supernatant was removed and 1 ml of extraction buffer was added with a composition of 0.5 ml Tris HCl, 1 mL SDS 1% + 2.8 mL NaCl, 0.2 ml Mercaptho Ethanol, 2 ml EDTA, 3.5 ml sterile water, and then homogenized. The suspension was transferred to a sterile mortal and incubated at -40 °C for 24 h. After that, the frozen suspension was ground until it was smooth and melted. A total of 500 µl of suspension was then transferred into a 1.5 ml tube. A total of 400 µl of 2% cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) was then added to the tube, homogenized, and then incubated at 65 °C for 1 h using a water bath (Brookfield TC 550 MX-230, USA). After the incubation, 500 µl of Phenol Chloroform Isoamyl alcohol (PCI) (25:24:1) was added, homogenized, and centrifuged (Microspin12; Biosan, Latvia) at 14,000 rpm for 10 min. A total of 600 µl of supernatant was taken and transferred to a new 1.5 ml tube. A total of 600 µl Chloroform Isoamyl Alcohol (CI) (24:1) was added to the tube, homogenized, and centrifuged (Microspin12; Biosan, Latvia) at 14,000 rpm for 10 min. A total of 400 µl of supernatant was then transferred to a new 1.5 ml tube, and 400 µl of cold isopropanol was added, homogenized, and incubated at -40 °C for 20 min. After the incubation, it was centrifuged (Microspin12; Biosan, Latvia) at 14,000 rpm for 15 min. The supernatant was then discarded. After the supernatant was removed, 500 µl of 70% cold ethanol was added and centrifuged (Microspin12; Biosan, Latvia) at 14,000 rpm for 5 min. The supernatant was then

discarded, and the pellets obtained were dried at room temperature for 24 h. After drying, the tube was then added as much as 50 μl $1 \times$ Tris–HCL EDTA (TE) pH 8.0 (1st Base Malaysia).

PCR amplification was carried out using the Sensoquest Thermal Cycler (Germany) PCR machine on the internal transcribed spacer (ITS) using ITS1 and ITS4 primers (White et al. 1990). The PCR was carried out with a total volume of 25 μl consisting of a mixture of Master Mix (Red Mix) (bioline) as much as 12.5 μl , 10 μM of primer ITS 1 (5'TCC GTA GGT GAA CCT TGC GG 3') and ITS 4 (5'TCC TCC GCT TAT TGA TAT GC 3') 1 μL each, 1 L of template DNA, and 9.5 μl of sterile water. The DNA amplification stage consisted of 1 initiation cycle at 95 °C for 5 min, followed by 30 cycles consisting of denaturation at 95 °C for 1 min, primer attachment at 52 °C for 1 min, primer extension at 72 °C for 1 min, and 1 elongation cycle at 72 °C for 5 min. The PCR results were then electrophoresed, using 0.5% agarose in 20 ml of $1 \times$ Tris-Boric Acid-EDTA (TBE) buffer (1st Base Malaysia), and added 1 μl of ethidium bromide (EtBr 10 mg/ml). The electrophoresis was carried out in $1 \times$ TBE buffer solution at 50 V for 70 min. Electrophoresis results were visualized using a DigiDoc UV transilluminator (UVP, USA).

Sequencing and analysis of the results

The PCR results were then sent to 1st Base Malaysia for the sequencing process. The results of the sequencing were analyzed, using Bio Edit ver. 7.2.6 for windows. Then, the results were submitted to the Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST) (<https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi>) to find out the genus or species that had the greatest homology or similarity and molecularly. The phylogeny tree was created using the Mega 7 for Windows program (Kumar et al. 2016), using the UPGMA (jukes and cantor model) method. The ITS region sequences for several strains used as a reference in this study were obtained from NCBI (<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/>).

Calculation of conidial density and viability

All the isolates of endophytic fungi were counted for their conidial density and viability. The conidial density of the 7-old-day fungi was calculated according to the method of Sumikarsih et al. (2019), using a hemocytometer and observed with a light microscope at $40 \times$ magnification. The viability was observed by growing 1 ml of conidia fungal suspension (1×10^6 conidia ml^{-1}) onto 2% agar–water medium, and then, the culture was incubated for 1×24 and 2×24 h under a light microscope at $40 \times$ magnification.

Mass-rearing of *S. frugiperda*

The mass-rearing of *S. frugiperda* was carried out, following the method of Herlinda et al. (2020a). The larvae were collected from the maize plants grown around the campus ($3^{\circ}13'25''\text{S}$ $104^{\circ}39'51''\text{E}$) and were reared in the laboratory for more than 5 generations to obtain the larvae with relatively homogeneous genetics and to eliminate the effects of exposure to synthetic insecticides from the fields. In the laboratory, the larvae of *S. frugiperda* were kept individually in a porous plastic cup (\varnothing 6.5 cm, height 4.6 cm) because the larvae were cannibals. The larvae were fed daily by maize leaves (measuring 2 cm \times 5 cm). Prepupae were transferred to a plastic container (\varnothing 15 cm, height 25 cm) on which its bottom had a sterile soil (5 cm thick). The plastic container containing the prepupae was placed in a wire mesh cage ($30 \times 30 \times 30 \text{ cm}^3$) and inside this cage placed also fresh maize leaves for the adults to lay eggs, which were changed daily. The one-day-old 2nd instar larvae were used for the bioassay.

Bioassay of endophytic fungi against larvae of *S. frugiperda*

The bioassay of endophytic fungi followed the method of Zea et al. (2019). The young maize (seedling) already inoculated with the endophytic fungi and not-inoculated (control) aged 10 days old was given to the 2nd instar larvae of *S. frugiperda*. Each larva was given one maize seedling whose cotyledons were already removed. The larvae were allowed to feed on the leaves and stems of the young maize for 6 h. in a sterile room (a laminar air flow cabinet), and each replication was tested for 25 individuals of *S. frugiperda* larvae. Then, the larvae were transferred to a porous plastic cup (\varnothing 6.5 cm, height 4.6 cm) and fed on healthy non-inoculated leaves measuring 2 cm \times 5 cm per day per larvae. The experiment was designed, using completely randomized designs with treatments of 20 isolates, 3 replications per treatment. The dead larvae were recorded daily for 12 days, following the method of Herlinda et al. (2020b). The dead larvae were grown in the agar–water medium to confirm whether the infection was caused by the endophytic fungi or not. The number of dead larvae was used to calculate the mortality.

Data analysis

The differences in the mortality data of *S. frugiperda* larvae were analyzed using analysis of variance (ANOVA). Tukey's honestly significant difference (HSD) test (Tukey's test) was employed to test for the significant differences among the treatments (isolates) at $P=0.05$. All data were calculated using software of SAS University Edition 2.7 9.4 M5.

Results

Colonization of endophytic fungi on maize tissues

All fungal isolates, isolated from the leaves, shoots, and roots of the maize, bananas, ridged gourd, and red chilies were found to be 124 isolates. However, after assessing for the fungal colonization in young maize (seedlings)

tissue, only 20 isolates of the fungi were confirmed as endophytic fungi (Fig. 1). Evidence of fungal colonization that entered the maize seedlings tissue was that mycelia fungi were seen entering and growing spread throughout the stem and leaf tissue. Mycelia endophytic fungi were able to invade the stem and leaf of the maize tissue, the




Fig. 1 Young maize tissues colonized by endophytic fungal species: Control (A); *Chaetomium* sp. isolates of GaTpeOi (B), JgTjPr (E), PiCrPga (G), and CaCjPga (O); *Aspergillus niger* isolates of PsgTjPr (C), JgByU (P), and JaBuBys (R); *Beauveria bassiana* isolates of JgSPK (D), JaGiP (F) JaSpkPGA(2) (K), JgCrJr (L), and JaTpOi(1) (M); *Curvularia lunata* isolates of JaGiPRB (H), CMTJP (I), JaMsBys (J), JaSpkPga(3) (N), and JgTgSr (Q); *Aspergillus flavus* isolate of JgPWSR (S); *Penicillium citrinum* isolate of JaTpOi(2) (T); and *Metarhizium anisopliae* isolate of CaTpPga (U)

mycelia appeared to be branched. The size of mycelia varied daily from 13.51 to 34.25 μm . In the maize tissue, which their seeds were not inoculated by the endophytic fungi (control), the cells in the maize tissue were clean and clear without fungal colonization.

Identification results of the endophytic fungal isolates

The colony morphology of 20 isolates of the endophytic fungi showed different colors (Fig. 2) and likewise the shapes of hyphae and conidia of each isolate showed their own characteristics (Fig. 3). The colony isolates of GaTpeOi, JgTjPr, PiCrPga, and CaCjPga grown on SDA media showed similarities; namely, the colony was pale gray, hyphae and mycelia were septate, and the conidia were in the shape of letter D (asymmetric/elliptical) and non-septation. Based on the phylogenetic tree, these 4 isolates were placed within the group of *Chaetomium* sp. (Fig. 4). The isolates were deposited in the GenBank with the accession number MZ359734 (GaTpeOi), MZ359736 (JgTjPr), MZ359735 (PiCrPga), MZ359737 (CaCjPga) (Table 1).

The colony isolates of PsgTjPr, JgByU, and JaBuBys showed similarities, their colonies were black, the hyphae and mycelia were also black, and the conidia were non-septate, globose in shape. The 3 isolates were placed within the group of *Aspergillus niger* (L.) Van Tieghem (Fig. 4). The isolates were deposited in the GenBank with the accession number MZ242060 (PsgTjPr), MZ242059 (JgByU), and MZ242058 (JaBuBys) (Table 1).

The isolates of JgSPK, JaGiP, JaSpkPGA(2), JgCrJr, and JaTpOi (1) had a white colony, white hyphae and mycelia, and the globose conidia, which were non-septate conidia. The isolates were placed within the group of *B. bassiana* (Fig. 4). The isolates were deposited in the GenBank with the accession number MZ356494 (JgSPK), MZ356495 (JaGiP), MZ356496 (JaSpkPGA(2)), MZ356497 (JgCrJr), and MZ356498 (JaTpOi1) (Table 1).

The isolates of JaGiPRB, CMTJP, JaMsBys, JaSpkPga(3), and JgTgSr had a black colony, black hyphae and mycelia, two-septate boomerang-shaped conidia. All the isolates were placed within the group of *Curvularia lunata* (Wakker) Boed. (Fig. 4). The isolates were deposited in the GenBank with the accession number MZ359815 (JaGiPRB), MZ359816 (CMTJP), MZ359819 (JaMsBys), MZ359818 (JaSpkPga(3)), and MZ359817 (JgTgSr) (Table 1).

The isolate of JgPWSR had a green colony, green hyphae and mycelia, non-septate globose conidia. The JgPWSR isolate was placed within the group of *Aspergillus flavus* Link. (Fig. 4). The isolate was deposited in the GenBank with the accession number MZ359829 (JgPWSR) (Table 1).

The color of the colony JaTpOi(2) isolate was initially white; as the fungus got older, it turned bluish green with white margin, rounded conidia. The isolate was placed within the group of *Penicillium citrinum* Thom F 1539 (Fig. 4). The isolate was deposited in the GenBank with the accession number MZ359812 (JaTpOi (2)) (Table 1).

The isolate of CaTpPGA had a white colony, and as the fungus got older, it turned greenish white to dark green, green hyphae and mycelia, and the conidia are clear, cylindrical, and non-septation. The isolate was placed within the group of *M. anisopliae* (Fig. 4). The isolate was deposited in the GenBank with the accession number MZ242073 (CaTpPGA) (Table 1).

The result of BLAST search revealed that the isolates of GaTpeOi, JgTjPr, PiCrPga, and CaCjPga had a similarity (100%) with the *Chaetomium* sp. isolate A13 (Acc. No. MH34803.1), isolate MJ51 (Acc. No. KM203618.1), and isolate CP-2009 (Acc. No. AB50680.1). The isolates of PsgTjPr, JgByU, and JaBuBys showed 100% of similarity with *A. niger* NBRC: 105649 (Acc. No. LC573609.1), strain WM04.470 (Acc. No. AJ853742.1), and isolate IFM61597 (Acc. No. LC602036.1). The isolates of JgSPK, JaGiP, JaSpkPGA(2), JgCrJr, and JaTpOi (1) showed (100%) similarity to the *B. bassiana* isolate GZMS-28 (Acc. No. KT715480.1), strain TF6-1B (Acc. No. JX122736.1), and isolate BSwTd4 (Acc. No. MT4487322.1). The isolates of JaGiPRB, CMTJP, JaMsBys, JaSpkPga(3), and JgTgSr showed 99.81% of similarity to *C. lunata* strain D25A (Acc. No. MH010917.1), strain AN 2 (Acc. No. KY859790.1), and isolate 1z -7 (Acc. No. MN213745.1). The isolate of JgPWSR showed 100% of similarity to *A. flavus* strain GFRS9 (Acc. No. MT447477.1), strain GFRS06 (Acc. No. MT447474.1), and strain aT3 (Acc. No. KU561920.1). The isolate of JaTpOi(2) showed 100% of similarity to *P. citrinum* strain yx-001 (Acc. No. MN826202.1), strain AKF2-KU (Acc. No. MN879404.1), and strain J (Acc. No. MK791668.1). The isolate of CaTpPGA had a similarity (99.29%) to *M. anisopliae* isolate MSwTp3 (Acc. No. MT448733.1), strain STBMA-001 (Acc. No. KF766520.1), and isolate C1 (Acc. No. KX809520.1).

There were 7 species from the 20 isolates of the endophytic fungi found in this study. The 4 isolates (GaTpeOi, JgTjPr, PiCrPga, and CaCjPga) were in the group of *Chaetomium* sp., the three isolates (PsgTjPr, JgByU, and JaBuBys) were in the group of *Aspergillus niger*, the 5 isolates (JgSPK, JaGiP, JaSpkPGA(2), JgCrJr, and JaTpOi (1)) were placed within the group of *B. bassiana*, the 5 isolates (JaGiPRB, CMTJP, JaMsBys, JaSpkPga(3), and JgTgSr) were in the group of *C. lunata*, an isolate (JgPWSR) was in the group of *A. flavus*, an isolate (JaTpOi(2)) was in the group of *P. citrinum*, and an isolate (CaTpPGA) was in the group of *M. anisopliae*.




Fig. 2 Colony morphology of endophytic fungal species: *Chaetomium* sp. isolates of GaTpeOi (A), JgTjPr (D), PiCrPga (F), and CaCjPga (M); *Aspergillus niger* isolates of PsgTjPr (B), JgByU (O), and JaBuByS (Q); *Beauveria bassiana* isolates of JgSPK (C), JaGiP (D), JaSpkPGA(2) (J), JgCrJr (K), and JaTpOi(1) (L); *Curvularia lunata* isolates of JaGiPRB (G), CMTJP (H), JaMsBys (I), JaSpkPga(3) (M), and JgTgSr (P); *Aspergillus flavus* isolate of JgPWSR (R); *Penicillium citrinum* isolate of JaTpOi(2) (S); and *Metarhizium anisopliae* isolate of CaTpPga (T)




Fig. 3 Conidial and hyphal morphology of endophytic fungal species: *Chaetomium* sp. isolates of GaTpeOi (A), JgTjPr (D), PiCrPga (F), and CaCjPga (M); *Aspergillus niger* isolates of PsgTjPr (B), JgByU (O), and JaBuByS (Q); *Beauveria bassiana* isolates of JgSPK (C), JaGiP (D), JaSpkPGA(2) (J), JgCrJr (K), and JaTpOi(1) (L); *Curvularia lunata* isolates of JaGiPRB (G), CMTJP (H), JaMsBys (I), JaSpkPga(3) (M), and JgTgSr (P); *Aspergillus flavus* isolate of JgPWSR (R); *Penicillium citrinum* isolate of JaTpOi(2) (S); and *Metarhizium anisopliae* isolate of CaTpPga (T)

(See figure on next page.)

Fig. 4 Phylogenetic tree based on the sequencing of internal transcribed spacer (ITS) region using UPGMA method (jukes and cantor model) performed with Mega 7 program for windows (Kumar et al., 2016). This research found 20 isolates of entomopathogenic fungi: 4 isolates placed within the group of *Chaetomium* sp., 3 isolates placed within the group of *Aspergillus niger*, 5 isolates placed within the group of *Beauveria bassiana*, 5 isolates placed within the group of *Curvularia lunata*, 1 isolate placed within the group of *Aspergillus flavus*, 1 isolate placed within the group of *Penicillium citrinum*, and 1 isolate placed within the group of *Metarhizium anisopliae*. A green round symbol = fungal isolates obtained from this research

Pathogenicity of the endophytic fungi against *S. frugiperda* larvae

Out of the 7 species of the 20 isolates of the endophytic fungi found in this study, there were 6 isolates that were the most pathogenic against *S. frugiperda* larvae (Table 2). The 4 isolates (JgSPK, JaGiP, JgCrJr, and JaTpOi1) resulted to mortality rates of 22.67, 21.3%, 21.33, and 17.33% consecutively were *B. bassiana*. In addition, the JaSpkPga(3) isolate of *C. lunata* caused a fairly high mortality (18.67%) and was non-significantly different than the mortality caused by the isolates of JgSPK, JaGiP, JgCrJr, JaTpOi1. The CaTpPga isolate of *M. anisopliae* caused (8%) larval mortality and was non-significantly different than the mortality caused by JaSpkPga(3) isolate. The *B. bassiana* and *C. lunata* isolates were isolated from maize, while the *M. anisopliae* isolate was isolated from red chili. The JaSpkPGA(2) isolate of *B. bassiana* resulting a mortality rate of only 2.67%. Likewise, *P. citrinum*, *Chaetomium* sp., *A. niger*, and *A. flavus* caused the lowest larval mortality of less than (8%).

The larvae of *S. frugiperda* that died because of feeding on leaves colonized by the endophytic fungi had the characteristics, *i.e.*, dull and dark integument, shriveled body, dry, and odorless. The feces excreted by sick larvae were wetter than those of untreated larvae (control). The larvae that died as a result of treatment with the endophytic fungi when grown in SDA media and their cadavers were not overgrown with mycelia fungi.

Discussion

The isolates of the endophytic fungi found in this study had an rDNA sequence similarity value of more than 99% to the reference species (BLAST), meaning that the isolates had a high phylogenetic relationship and were in the same species. Bich et al. (2021) stated that ribosomal DNA sequences were used to determine the phylogenetic relationships of organisms to taxa species. Based on the similarity value, it is stated that if the similarity value is 100%, it means that the isolates are the same strain, whereas if the similarity value of 99% indicates that the isolates are the same species, and if the similarity value of the isolates is 89–99%, that means they are the same genus (Henry et al. 2000). An organism is declared the

same species when the difference in DNA sequences is between 0.2 and 1% (Shenoy et al. 2007).

The results of identification based on the morphological characters of several species of fungi showed the similarities; for example, the morphology of the colony and the shape of the conidia isolates of *B. bassiana* were similar to that of *P. citrinum*, but after the molecular identification, they showed them different species. According to Minarni et al. (2021), proper species identification is very important in determining a species as an entomopathogen.

The fungi in this study proved to be endophytic based on the characteristics of maize stalks and leaves colonized by the fungal mycelia. According to Barra-Bucarei et al. (2020), endophytic fungus (*B. bassiana*) had a systemic mode of action ability to colonize their host plant (tomato). The endophytic fungi can still be found on roots, stems, and leaves of tomato up to 30 days after inoculation (Carolina et al. 2020). Endophytic *B. bassiana* colonized 100% of leaves, 80% of stems, and 60% of roots of maize 7 days after foliar spray and caused significant reductions in *S. frugiperda* growth and reproduction (Russo et al. 2020). The research results of Shikano (2018) showed the endophytic fungi were able to live in the tissue for several months depending on the age of the host plant.

Out of the 7 species of 20 isolates of the endophytic fungi found in this study, there were only 6 pathogenic isolates against the larvae of *S. frugiperda*. The isolates JgSPK, JaGiP, JgCrJr, JaTpOi1 belong to *B. bassiana*, and the JaSpkPga(3) and CaTpPga isolates belong to *C. lunata* and *M. anisopliae*, respectively. First report of *B. bassiana* and *C. lunata* isolated from maize, and *M. anisopliae* isolated from red chili in Indonesia were found as entomopathogenic endophytic fungi against *S. frugiperda*. *C. lunata* infected and killed grain insect pests, such as *Tribolium castaneum* (Herbst.) (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae), *Trogoderma granarium* (Everts) (Coleoptera: Dermestidae), *Rhyzopertha dominica* (F.) (Coleoptera: Bostrichidae), and *Cryptolestes ferrugineus* (Stephens) (Coleoptera: Cucujidae) (Wakil et al. 2014). The endophytic *M. anisopliae* effectively killed the larvae of *S. frugiperda* (Ramos et al. 2020).

The endophytic fungi species of *B. bassiana* (JgSPK, JaGiP, JgCrJr, JaTpOi1 isolates), *C. lunata* (JaSpkPga(3)




Fig. 4 (See legend on previous page.)

Table 2 Mean mortality of *Spodoptera frugiperda* larvae treated with endophytic fungi (1×10^6 conidia ml⁻¹), and conidial density and viability of endophytic fungi

Fungal isolate code	Fungal species	Mortality (%)	Conidial viability (%) for 1 × 24 h	Conidial viability (%) for 2 × 24 h
Control	–	0.00a	–	–
GaTpeOi	<i>Chaetomium</i> sp.	4.00bcd	45.17bcd	47.00a
PsgTjPr	<i>Aspergillus niger</i>	2.67abc	38.20ab	51.63abcd
JgSPK	<i>Beauveria bassiana</i>	22.67f	47.59efgh	55.23cde
JgTjPr	<i>Chaetomium</i> sp.	2.67abcd	35.74a	55.76de
JaGiP	<i>Beauveria bassiana</i>	21.33f	42.86abc	52.91abcd
PiCrPga	<i>Chaetomium</i> sp.	5.33cd	44.59cde	47.99abc
JaGiPRB	<i>Curvularia lunata</i>	4.00bcd	45.18bcd	52.75abcd
CMTJP	<i>Curvularia lunata</i>	2.67abcd	45.25efg	54.94bcde
JaMsBys	<i>Curvularia lunata</i>	6.67d	49.98gh	58.60de
JaSpkPGA(2)	<i>Beauveria bassiana</i>	2.67abcd	47.20cde	48.15abc
JgCrJr	<i>Beauveria bassiana</i>	21.33f	46.50cd	52.63abcd
JaTpOi (1)	<i>Beauveria bassiana</i>	18.67f	54.02efg	56.55de
JaSpkPga(3)	<i>Curvularia lunata</i>	17.33ef	50.85def	56.93de
CaCjPga	<i>Chaetomium</i> sp.	2.67abcd	37.24a	47.77ab
JgByU	<i>Aspergillus niger</i>	1.33ab	58.84gh	69.57g
JgTgSr	<i>Curvularia lunata</i>	4.00bcd	55.33efgh	61.24ef
JaBuBys	<i>Aspergillus niger</i>	2.67abcd	58.45gh	76.50h
JgPWSR	<i>Aspergillus flavus</i>	4.00bcd	62.54h	68.39g
JaTpOi(2)	<i>Penicillium citrinum</i>	6.67cd	57.44fgh	65.98fg
CaTpPga	<i>Metarhizium anisopliae</i>	8.00de	50.76def	68.75f
F value	7.27*	9.05*	15.67*	
P value	0.00	0.00	0.00	
HSD value	8.8	4.27	4.07	

* = significantly different; values within a column followed by the same letters were not significantly different at $P < 0.05$ according to Tukey's HSD test

isolate), and *M. anisopliae* (CaTpPga isolate) had potential as entomopathogens of *S. frugiperda* larvae. *S. frugiperda* larvae that died from consuming plants colonized by the endophytic fungi showed that the fungi could be applied through seed treatment, especially for maize seeds. The seed treatment causes the endophytic fungi to colonize plants (Gustianingtyas et al. 2021), and if *S. frugiperda* larvae eat them, it can cause them die (Ramos et al. 2020).

The *B. bassiana* of JaSpkPGA(2) isolate and all isolates of *P. citrinum*, *Chaetomium* sp., *A. niger*, and *A. flavus* showed no potential as entomopathogens of *S. frugiperda* with their resulting mortality rates of less than 8%. In contrast to the research, results of Gustianingtyas et al. (2021) reported that the endophytic fungi species (*Aspergillus* sp. and *Chaetomium* sp.) applied topically (contact) caused mortality rates of *S. frugiperda* larvae as many as 18.67 and 14.67%, respectively, and the mortality rate caused by *Beauveria* sp. reached 29%. This difference in the rates was due to different ways of application of the fungi; in this study, the

larvae of *S. frugiperda* consumed the plants that had been colonized by the endophytic fungi which were not applied topically.

The larvae of *S. frugiperda* that were sick and died by feeding on the leaves colonized by the endophytic fungus had different characteristics from the larvae that were sick and died due to the topical suspension of the endophytic fungus. The sick larvae of *S. frugiperda* in this study had wetter feces, and the cadavers were not overgrown and covered by the fungal mycelia, while the sick larvae caused by contact with suspension of the endophytic fungi according to Gustianingtyas et al. (2021) had dry feces and the cadavers were covered with the fungal mycelia. The dead larvae treated by the endophytic fungi were not overgrown by mycelia fungi because the cadavers were too dry. According to El-Ghany (2015), the success of a fungus in germinating was affected by several external factors, such as humidity (more than 98%). So, if the cadavers were too dry, the fungal conidia could not germinate on the cadavers.

Conclusions

Molecular identifications recorded 7 species of the endophytic fungi, namely *Chaetomium* sp. (GaTpeOi, JgTjPr, PiCrPga, and CaCjPga isolates), *Aspergillus niger* (PsgTjPr, JgByU, and JaBuBys), *B. bassiana* (JgSPK, JaGiP, JaSpkPGA(2), JgCrJr, and JaTpOi (1) isolates), *Curvularia lunata* (JaGiPRB, CMTJP, JaMsBys, JaSpkPga(3), and JgTgSr isolates), *Aspergillus flavus* (JgPWSR isolate), *Penicillium citrinum* (JaTpOi(2) isolate), and *Metarhizium anisopliae* (CaTpPGA isolate). The endophytic fungal species of *B. bassiana* (JgSPK isolate) caused up to (22.67%) mortality, *C. lunata* (JaSpkPga(3) isolate) caused (17.33%) mortality, and *M. anisopliae* (CaTpPga isolate) caused (8%) mortality against *S. frugiperda* larvae. First report of *B. bassiana* and *C. lunata* isolated from maize, and *M. anisopliae* isolated from red chili in Indonesia were found as entomopathogenic endophytic fungi against *S. frugiperda* larvae. The endophytic fungi species of *B. bassiana*, *C. lunata*, and *M. anisopliae* had potentials as entomopathogens of *S. frugiperda*.

Abbreviations

ANOVA: Analysis of variance; BLAST: Basic Local Alignment Search Tool; CTAB: Cetyltrimethylammonium bromide; DNA: Deoxyribonucleic acid; EtOH: Ethyl alcohol; HSD: Tukey's honestly significant difference; ITS: Internal transcribed spacer; MEA: The malt extract agar; NaOCl: Sodium hypochlorite; SDA: Sabouraud dextrose agar; TBE: Tris-Boric Acid-EDTA.

Acknowledgements

All authors would like to thank the Directorate General of Higher Education, Ministry of Education, Culture, Research, and Technology, Republic of Indonesia, for funding this research. We would like to thank the Head of the Department of Plant Protection, Faculty of Agriculture, Universitas Sriwijaya, for laboratory facilities.

Authors' contributions

SH performed research concept and design, writing the article, and final approval of article. MG performed collection and assembly of data. SS prepared and performed morphological identification and critical revision of the article. RS performed molecular identification and data analysis and interpretation. JMPS performed collection and assembly of data. RPL performed collection and assembly of data. All the authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

This research was funded by the Directorate General of Higher Education, Ministry of Education, Culture, Research, and Technology, Republic of Indonesia, Fiscal Year 2021, in accordance with the Basic Research Contract Number: 150/E4.1/AK.04.PT/2021 chaired by SH.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets used and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author details

¹Department of Plant Protection, Faculty of Agriculture, Universitas Sriwijaya, Indralaya, South Sumatra 30662, Indonesia. ²Research Center for Sub-Optimal Lands (PUR-PLSO), Universitas Sriwijaya, Palembang, South Sumatera 30139, Indonesia. ³Graduate Program of Crop Sciences, Faculty of Agriculture, Universitas Sriwijaya, Palembang, South Sumatera 30139, Indonesia. ⁴Department of Plant Protection, Faculty of Agriculture, Universitas Lampung, Bandar Lampung, Lampung 35145, Indonesia.

Received: 29 June 2021 Accepted: 11 September 2021

Published online: 18 September 2021

References

- Barra-Bucarei L, González MG, Iglesias AF, Aguayo GS, Peñalosa MG, Vera PV (2020) *Beauveria bassiana* multifunction as an endophyte: growth promotion and biologic control of *Trialeurodes vaporariorum*, (Westwood) (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae) in tomato. *Insects* 11:1–15. <https://doi.org/10.3390/insects11090591>
- Bich GA, Castrillo ML, Kramer FL, Villalba LL, Zapata PD (2021) Morphological and molecular identification of entomopathogenic fungi from agricultural and forestry crops. *Floresta e Ambiente* 28:1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1590/2179-8087-FLORAM-2018-0086>
- Carolina A, Silva L, Silva GA, Henrique P, Abib N, Carolino AT, Samuels RI (2020) Endophytic colonization of tomato plants by the entomopathogenic fungus *Beauveria bassiana* for controlling the South American tomato pinworm, *Tuta absoluta*. *CABI Agric Biosci* 1:1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s43170-020-00002-x>
- de Lira AC, Mascarin GM, Júnior ID (2020) Microsclerotia production of *Metarhizium* spp. for dual role as plant biostimulant and control of *Spodoptera frugiperda* through corn seed coating. *Fungal Biol* 124:689–699. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.funbio.2020.03.011>
- Elfta E, Larasati JE, Widjajanti H (2019) Antibacterial activity of *Cordylone fruticosa* leaf extracts and its endophytic fungi extracts. *Biodiversitas* 20:3804–3812. <https://doi.org/10.13057/biodiv/d201245>
- El-Ghany TMA (2015) Entomopathogenic Fungi and their Role in Biological Control. *Biology Department Faculty of Science Jazan University KSA: Cairo*
- Gustianingtyas M, Herlinda S, Suwandi S (2021) The endophytic fungi from South Sumatra (Indonesia) and their pathogenicity against the new invasive fall armyworm, *Spodoptera frugiperda*. *Biodiversitas* 22:1051–1062. <https://doi.org/10.13057/biodiv/d220262>
- Henry T, Iwen PC, Hinrichs SH (2000) Identification of *Aspergillus* species using internal transcribed spacer regions 1 and 2. *J Clin Microbiol* 38:1510–1515. <https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.38.4.1510-1515.2000>
- Herlinda S, Octariati N, Suwandi S, Hasbi (2020a) Exploring entomopathogenic fungi from South Sumatra (Indonesia) soil and their pathogenicity against a new invasive maize pest, *Spodoptera frugiperda*. *Biodiversitas* 21:2955–2965. <https://doi.org/10.13057/biodiv/d210711>
- Herlinda S, Efendi RA, Suharjo R, Hasbi, Setiawan A, Elfta, Verawaty M (2020b) New emerging entomopathogenic fungi isolated from soil in South Sumatra (Indonesia) and their filtrate and conidial insecticidal activity against *Spodoptera litura*. *Biodiversitas* 21:5102–5113. <https://doi.org/10.13057/biodiv/d211115>
- Hutasoit RT, Kalqutny SH, Widiarta IN (2020) Spatial distribution pattern, bionomic, and demographic parameters of a new invasive species of armyworm *Spodoptera frugiperda* (Lepidoptera; Noctuidae) in maize of South Sumatra, Indonesia. *Biodiversitas* 21:3576–3582. <https://doi.org/10.13057/biodiv/d210821>
- Kasambala T, Vega FE, Klingen I (2018) Establishment of the fungal entomopathogen *Beauveria bassiana* as an endophyte in sugarcane, *Saccharum officinarum*. *Fungal Ecol* 35:70–77. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.funeco.2018.06.008>
- Kumar S, Stecher G, Tamura K (2016) MEGA7: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 7.0 for bigger datasets. *Mol Biol Evol* 33:1870–1874. <https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msw054>

- Minarni EW, Soesanto L, Suyanto A (2021) Molecular identification of three entomopathogenic fungi infecting the brown plant hopper pest in Indonesia. *Egypt J Biol Pest Control* 62:1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41938-021-00412-7>
- Montezano DG, Specht A, Sosa-gómez DR, De BU (2018) Host plants of *Spodoptera frugiperda* (Lepidoptera : Noctuidae) in the Americas. *Afr Entomol* 26:286–300. <https://doi.org/10.4001/003.026.0286>
- Otim MH, Tay WT, Walsh TK, Kanyesigye D, Adumo S, Abongosi J, Ochen S, Sserumaga J, Alibu S, Abalo G, Asea G, Agona A (2018) Detection of sister-species in invasive populations of the fall armyworm *Spodoptera frugiperda* (Lepidoptera : Noctuidae) from Uganda. *PLoS ONE* 13:1–18. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0194571>
- Ramanujam B, Poornesha B, Shylesha AN (2020) Effect of entomopathogenic fungi against invasive pest *Spodoptera frugiperda* (J.E. Smith) (Lepidoptera : Noctuidae) in maize. *Egypt J Biol Pest Control* 30:1–5. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41938-020-00291-4>
- Ramos Y, Taibo AD, Jiménez JA, Portal O (2020) Endophytic establishment of *Beauveria bassiana* and *Metarhizium anisopliae* in maize plants and its effect against *Spodoptera frugiperda* (J. E. Smith) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) larvae. *Egypt J Biol Pest Control* 30:1–6. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41938-020-00223-2>
- Russo ML, Jaber LR, Scorsetti AC, Vianna F, Cabello MN, Pelizza SA (2020) Effect of entomopathogenic fungi introduced as corn endophytes on the development, reproduction, and food preference of the invasive fall armyworm *Spodoptera frugiperda*. *J Pest Sci* 93:1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10340-020-01302-x>
- Sartiami D, Dadang, Harahap I, Kusumah Y, Anwar R (2020) First record of fall armyworm (*Spodoptera frugiperda*) in Indonesia and its occurrence in three provinces. In 'IOP Conf Ser Earth Environ Sci 468 012021':1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/468/1/012021>
- Shenoy BD, Jeewon R, Hyde KD (2007) Impact of DNA sequence-data on the taxonomy of anamorphic fungi. 'Fungal Divers', pp 1–54 <https://fungaiversity.org/fdp/sfdp/26-1.pdf>
- Shikano I (2018) Evolutionary ecology of multitrophic interactions between plants, insect herbivores and entomopathogens. *J Chem Ecol* 43:586–598. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10886-017-0850-z>
- Silva LF, Freire KTLS, Araújo-Magalhães GR, Agamez-Montalvo GS, Sousa MA, Costa-Silva TA, Paiva LM, Pessoa-Junior A, Bezerra JDP, Souza-Motta CM (2018) *Penicillium* and *Talaromyces* endophytes from *Tillandsia catimbaensis*, a bromeliad endemic in the Brazilian tropical dry forest, and their potential for L-asparaginase production. *World J Microbiol Biotechnol* 34:1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11274-018-2547-z>
- Sumikarsih E, Herlinda S, Pujiastuti Y (2019) Conidial density and viability of *Beauveria bassiana* isolates from Java and Sumatra and their virulence against *Nilaparvata lugens* at different temperatures. *Agrivita J Agric Sci* 41:335–349. <https://doi.org/10.17503/agrivita.v41i2.2105>
- Swibawa IG, Fitriana Y, Suharjo R, Susilo FX, Rani EKA (2020) Morpho-molecular identification and pathogenicity test on fungal parasites of guava root-knot nematode eggs in Lampung, Indonesia. *Biodiversitas* 21:1108–1115. <https://doi.org/10.13057/biodiv/d210334>
- Wakil W, Ghazanfar MU, Yasin M (2014) Naturally occurring entomopathogenic fungi infecting stored grain insect species in Punjab, Pakistan. *J Insect Sci* 14:1–7. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jisesa/ieu044>
- White T, Bruns T, Lee S, Taylor J (1990) Amplification and direct sequencing of fungal ribosomal RNA genes for phylogenetics. In: MA Innis, DH Gelfand, JJ Sninsky, TJ White (eds) PCR protocols: a guide to methods and applications. Academic Press Inc., New York, pp. 315–322
- Zea C, Herbivore I, Noctuidae L, Ferreri N, Pelizza S (2019) Endophytic effects of *Beauveria bassiana* on Corn (*Zea mays*) and its herbivore, *Rachiplusia nu* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *Insects* 10:1–9. <https://doi.org/10.3390/insect10040110>

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen® journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- Rigorous peer review
- Open access: articles freely available online
- High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at ► [springeropen.com](https://www.springeropen.com)
